# Bangladesh Water Development Board



PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT: IMED-04/2003 (Revised)

NAME OF THE PROJECT: CHAR DEVELOPMENT AND SETTLEMENT PROJECT-III

PROJECT PERIOD: July 2005 - February 2011

Office of the Project Director: PMU-ESPP, BWDB, Dhaka.

DIVISION: Noakhali O&M Division and Laxmipur O&M Division, BWDB.

### Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh Ministry of Planning Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Division

PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT: IMED 04/2003 (Revised)

#### A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

01. Name of the Project

: Char Development and Settlement Project-III

02. Administrative Ministry/Division

: Ministry of Water Resource

03. Executing Agency

: Bangladesh Water Development Board

04. Location of the Project

:

Division	District	Upazilla
	Noakhali	Hatia, Noakhali Sadar
Chittagong _	Lakshmipur	Ramgati

05. Objective of the Project

The overall objective of CDSP –III is to improve the economic situation and living condition of the population in the coastal areas of south-eastern Bangladesh (Noakhali) with special reference to the poorest segment of the population.

#### 06. Estimated Cost

(In lakh Taka)

	Original	Latest Revised
(a) Total	7220.97	9021.81
(b) Taka	1269.00	821.99
(c) Foreign Currency	2849.74	3787.75
(d) Project Aid	5951.97	8199.82
(e) RPA	3102.23	4412.07

07. Date of Approval : PCP PP

(a) Origi<mark>n</mark>al

23-10-2005

31-05-2006

(b) Latest Revised

.

06-05-2010

# 08. Implementation Period

	Date of Commencement	Date of Completion
(a) Original	July-2005	June-2009
(b) Latest Revised	July-2005	December-2010
(c) Actual	July-2005	February-2011 (Time extented
		by Planning Commission)

# 09. Financing Arrangement (Source-wise):

#### 9.1 Status of Loan/Grant

### a) Foreign Financing:

Source (s)	Currency as per Agreement	Amount in US \$ (Million) (1 US \$ =Tk.70) / (Euro)	Nature (Loan/Grant/ supplier's/ credit)	Date of Agreement	Date of Effective- ness	Origina	Closing
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Government of the Netherlands	Euro	\$3.40961 4/(€ 2.685)	Grant	27 September 2005	27 September 2005	30 June 2009	31 Decemb er 2010
GoB	BDT	_	Grant	_	_		_

## b) GOB:

#### (In lakh Taka)

Total amou	int	Loan	Grant	Cash Foreign Exchange
1		2	3	4
821.99		-	Grant	_

## 9.2 Utilization of Project Aid: (Source wise)

### (In million)

Source (s)	Total Amount		Actual Ex	xpenditure	Unutilized Amount		
	In US \$	In Local	In US \$	In Local	In US \$	In Local Currency	
	(1 US \$ =Tk.70	Currency	(1 US \$ =Tk.70)	Currency	(1 US \$ =Tk.70)		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Government of the Netherlands	11.714	819.982	11.315	792.053	0.399	27.929	
GoB	1.174	82.199	1.068	74.812	0.1055	7.387	

# 9.3 Re-imbursible Project Aid (RPA):

(In lakh Taka) Remarks

RPA A	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount Re-imbursed	Remarks
As per PP	As per Agreement	Spent	Claimed	Re-Impursed	
	Agreement	3	4	5	6
4412.07	4412.07	4217.77	4217.77	4214.77	

# B. IMPLEMENTATION POSITION

## 01. Implementation Period:

Implementation Period as per PP		Actual Implementation	Time Over-run (% of original	Remarks
Original	Latest Revised	period	implementation period)	
1	2	3	4	5
July 2005 – June 2009	July 2005 – December 2010	July 2005 – February 2011	Two Months, as per approval of planning commission	

#### 02. Cost of the Project:

(In lakh Taka)

Description	Estimated Cost		Actual expenditure	Cost over-run (% of original cost)	Remarks	
Description	Original	Latest revised	4	5	6	
1	2	3	4	3		
Total	7220.97	9021.81	8668.66	20.04		
Taka	1269.00	821.99	748.12	<b>-41.046</b>		
PA	5951.97	8199.82	7920.53	33.074		

# 03. Project Personnel: Project work has been completed by existing manpower of BWDB.

Sanctioned	Manpower		Manpower			
strength as per PP	employed during execution	Manpower requirement for O&M as per pp	Existing manpower for O & M	Others	Em	ployed
1	2	3	4	5	Male	Female
Officer (s)						
Staff(s)						
Total:						

# 4. Training of Project Personnel (Foreign/Local):

Field of	Provision as per PP			Actu	Remarks	
Training /Study tour/workshop/	Number of person	Man - months		Number of person	Man - months	
Seminer etc.	2	3	1	4	5	6
1	2		120	07	2.8	
Study tour-1	15	4.5	-	0.0	1.6	
Study tour-2	1.5			08		
Study tour-3	33	7.50		22	5.87	

- a. Foreign: 3 Study tours
- b. Local: -

- wifad.org Wah 00142 Ron

05. Component-wise Progress (As per latest approved PP):

(In lakh Taka)

Items of work	Unit	Target (a	as per PP)	Actual	Progress	Reasons for
(as per PP)		Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	deviation
(as per 2 - )			(Quantity)		(Quantity)	(±)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
a) Revenue Component						
i. Equipment & Vehicle .	L.S.		L.S.	1.60		
Telephone	L.S.				53.56%	
Fax, e-mail, Electricity	L.S.	3.79	L.S.	0.43	33.30%	
etc.  1. Office Stationeries	L.S.	15.00	L.S.	13.42	89.47%	
Fuel						
Repair & Maintenance of Vehicles.(*)	L.S.	80.00	L.S.	64.38	80.48%	
Sub-Total of i.		98.79	-	79.83		
Other cost (RPA)						
Additional drainage study	L.S.	99.2	L.S.	99.08	100.00%	
Other cost (DPA)						
NGO Activities	Item	1312.14	1 Item	1256.03	100.00%	
Review Mission	Item	88.88	1 Item	60.00	100.00%	
Keview Mission						

Items of work	Unit	Target (	as per PP)	Actual	Progress	Reasons
(as per PP)		Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	for
			(Quantity)		(Quantity)	deviation (±)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Technical Assistance					0	
a) International TA	Item	484.7	1 Item	484.70	100.00%	
		,	- Rom	464.70	100.0076	
b) National TA	Item	570.33	1 Item	570.33	100.00%	
c) TA support staff & logistics	Item	844.74	1 Item	844.74	100.00%	
d) Direct expenses	Item	477.73	1 Item	477.73	100.00%	
e) Miscellaneous	Item	9.23	1 Item	9.23	100.00%	
Sub-Total-(2.1-2.4.5)		3886.95	-	3801.84		
Overhead Cost (GoB)	L.S.	13.2	L.S.	13.20	100.00%	
Other GoB						
a) Detailed design of structure	Item	12	1 Item	9.72	100.00%	
b) Maintenance of CDSP 1st & 2nd Phase	L.S.	350	L.S.	349.14	100.00%	
c) Contingency	L.S.	65	L.S.	51.82	79.72%	
d) Unforeseen Cost	L.S.	2	L.S.	1.97	98.50%	
e) Counterpart Appraisal Mission	L.S.	5	L.S.	0.00	0.00%	
f) Cost Escalation (1% of Civil Work)		0	-	0.00		
Sub-Total-(2.5-2.6)		447.2		425.85		
Sub-Total [Revenue component]		4432.94	-	4307.52		
b) Capital Component						
Land acquisition (GoB)						
Land aquisition (Including Stamp, Registration etc.)	ha	260	100 ha.	228.99	100.00%	
Civil Works	_					
A. Bagardona upstream area			,			
1. Re-excavation of Bagardona (Bhulua) River.	Km	648	10.00 Km.	633.03	100.00%	
2. Re-excavation of Jarirdona Khal including Guide Dyke.	Km	400	3.535 Km.	399.26	100.00%	

Items of work	Unit	Target (a	as per PP)	Actual	Progress	Reasons
(as per PP)		Financial	Physical	Financial	Physical	for deviation
		16	(Quantity)		(Quantity)	(±)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3. Short-cut drainage channel (Jarirdona) including Guide Dyke.	Km	629	3.50 Km.	562.53	100.00%	
B. Boyar Char & Char Maradona area		258.00	P1			
1. Drainage Sluice DS-2 (7V-2.21x 2.21m) over Gabtoli Khal	No	570	1 No.	505.42	100.00%	
2. Closure-1(D/S of Steamer ghat)	No	246.87	1 No.	242.00	100.00%	
3. Clouser-2 (Near CBD-1)	No	113	1 No.	106.50	100.00%	
4. Embankment in Boyer Char	Km	950	18.87 Km	945.25	100.00%	
5. Guide dyke cum Rural road	Km	81	2.96 Km	80.47	100.00%	
6. Short-cut Drainage Channel at Gabtali	Km	267	6.00 Km	260.76	100.00%	
7. Re-Excavation of Banshkhali Khal D/S of sluice	Km	110	2.10 km	109.17	100.00%	
8. Improvement of Secondary drainage channel	Km	68	38.0 Km	67.68	100.00%	
9. Drainage Sluices	No	230	2 Nos.	206.63	100.00%	
Sub-Total-2		4312.87	-	4118.7		
i. Equipment & Vehicles.						
Computer with accessories	No	3.50	5 Nos.	2.09	100.00%	
2. Photocopier with accessories	No	4.50	3 Nos.	3.87	100.00%	
3. Furnitures, AC Equipments	L.S.	8.00	L.S.	7.49	100.00%	
Sub-Total-3		16.00	-	13.45		
Sub-Total [capital component]		4588.87	-	4361.14		
Grand Total		9021.81	-	8668.66		

# 06. Information regarding Project Director (s):

Full	Part	Responsible	Date	e of	Remark s
time	time	for more than one project	Joining	Transfer	7
2	3	4	5	6	/
Yes	- 100	Yes	06/08/2005	09/12/2007	
Yes	- 4	Yes	09/12/2007	30/12/2009	
Yes	-	Yes	30/12/2009	08/09/2011	
	time  2 Yes	time time  2 3  Yes -	time time for more than one project  2 3 4  Yes - Yes  Yes	Full time   Full time   Responsible	time time for more than one project  2

# 07. Procurement of Transport (in Nos.): No Transport was procured.

Type of transport	Number as per P.P.	Procured with date	Transferred to Transport Pool with date	Transferr ed to O & M with date	Condemned/ damaged with date	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Car	_					
Jeep						
Microbus						
Minibus						
Bus						
Pick-up						
Truck						
Motor Cycle						
By-cycle						
Speed Boat						
Launch						
Others with name						

#### 08. Procurement of Goods, Works and Consultancy Services:

08.1 Goods & Works of the Project costing above Tk. 200.00 lakh. and Consultancy above Tk. 100.00 lakh:

Description of procurement (goods/works		Tender/Bid/Proposal Cost (in crore Taka)		d/Proposal	Date of completion of works/services and supply of goods		
/consultancy) as per bid document	As per PP	Contracted value	Invitation date	Contract signing/ L.C opening date	As per contract	Actual	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Construction of closure no-1 over hatiya river	2.4687	2.42	25-8-2009	15-12-2009	6-5-2010	30-5-2010	
Construction of Drainage Sluice DS-2 over Gabtali Khal at Boyerchar, Ramgati, Laxmipur.	5.72	4.00	28-08-2007	03-09-2007	20-6-2008	20-7-2009	

### 8.2 Use of Project Consultant (s) (Foreign/Local):

Name of the Field	Approv	ed man month	Actual man month utilised	Remarks
	As per PP	As per contract		
1	2	3	4	5
Chief Technical Adviser	21	21	21	
Short Term Specialist- Unallocated	6	6	6	
Team Leader/ Adviser	53	53	53	
Deputy Team Leader	45	45	45	
Inst/Land Settlement specialist	57	57	57	
Water Management Organization Specialist/Sociologist	11.4	11.4	11.4	
Disscemination specialist	28	28	28	
Agricultural Extansion specialist	22	22	22	
Social Forestry	22	22	22	

Name of the Field	Approv	ed man month	Actual man month utilised	Remarks
	As per PP	As per contract		
1	2	3	4	5
specialist				
Engr. Quality Control and Monitoring specialist	60	60	60	
Social/Instituional QC&M specialist	44	44   1 :	44	
Short term specialist unallocated	50	50	50	

a) Foreign: 2 persons

b) Local: 10 persons

## 09. Construction/Erection/Installation Tools & Equipment:

Description of items	Quantity (as per PP)	Quantity procured with date	Transferre d to O & M with date	Disposed off as per rule with date	Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

## C. FINANCIAL AND PHYSICAL PROGRAMME:

## 01. (a) Original and revised schedule as per PP:

(In lakh Taka)

Financial Year		Financial provision & physical target as per original PP				Financial provision & physical target as per latest revised PP			
	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physic al %	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
2005-06	1167	205	962	20	571.40	53.42	517.98	9	
2006-07	2361.11	368	1993.11	25	913.34	144.17	769.17	13	
2007-08	2236.99	382	1854.99	30	2252.44	252.44	2000	24.53	
2008-09	1455.87	314	1141.87	25	3013.76	144.88	2868.88	25	
2009-10					1819.17	155.84	1663.33	22.5	
2010-11					451.70	71.24	380.46	5.97	

#### 01. (b) Revised ADP allocation and progress:

Vite: Www. 18 Rome, Italy

(In lakh Taka)

Financial	Revi	ised Allo	cation &	target	Taka	Expendit	ure & phy	sical progr	ess
Year	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physica 1 %	Release	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
2005-06	733	66	667	9	733	571.40	53.42	517.98	9
2006-07	1668	318	1350	13	1668	903.89	134.72	769.17	13
2007-08	2500	300	2200	24.53	2500	2252.44	252.44	2000	24.53
2008-09	2582	200	2382	25	2582	2526.16	144.98	2381.18	25
2009-10	2655	125	2530	22.5	2655	1907.25	73.40	1833.85	22.5
2010-11	578	104	474	5.97	578	507.52	89.17	418.35	5.77

#### D. ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT :

Objectives as per PP	Actual achievement	Reasons for shortfall, if any
a) Promote an institutional environment that sustains interventions as proposed in CDSP	Objective achieved	
b) Accumulate and disseminate data and knowledge on coastal char development.	Objective achieved	
c) Improve the economic & social situation of the people in the coastal chars in a sustainable way.	Objective achieved	

#### E. BENEFIT ANALYSIS

## 01. Annual Out-put:

Items of out-put	Unit	Estimated quantity expected at full capacity	Actual quantity of out-put during the 1st year of operation at full capacity (or during, real production for newly completed project).
		Analysis not p	erformed

### 02. Cost / Benefit:

Item	116	Estimated	Actual
(1) Benefit cost ratio of the proje (i) Financial	ct	1.79:1.00	Not yet calculated.
(ii) Economic		2.38:1.00	
(2) Internal Rate of Return (i) Financial		19.39%	
(ii) Economic		23.62%	

03. Please give reasons for shortfall, if any, between the estimated and actual benefit:  $\,N\,/\,A\,$ 

# F. MONITORING AND AUDITING

### 0.1 Monitoring:

(a) Ministry / Agency:

(b) <u>IMED</u>:

Name & designation of	Date of	Identified Problems	Recommendations
the inspecting official	Inspection		
1	2	3	4
Mr. Rabindranath Bormon	27/05/2010	1. Siltation problem	1. Necessary actions should be
Director		2. Unsatisfactory	taken to complete the unfinished
IMED		finishing of Gabtoli	work.
		Sluice.	2. Necessary actions should be
		3. Probability of	taken so that the dumped soil beside
		refilling of canal.	the canal can not refill the canal.
			3. The soil of embankment should
			be compacted and turfing should be
			accomplished well so that no void is
			created during rainfall.
			4. Finishing work of Gabtili sluice
			should be rectified. Actions should
			be taken against the persons related
			in monitoring work of Gabtoli
			sluice gate manufacturing and
			embankment construction.
			5. For proper implementation of the
			project central monitoring of
			BWDB should be strengthened.

(c) Others: (Please specify)

.2. Auditing during and after Implementation:

### 2.1. Internal Audit:

Period of Audit	Date of submission of Audit Report	Major findings/ objections	Whether objections resolved or not.	
1	2	3	4	
	10 mg 2 mg			

### 2.2. External Audit:

Audit period	Date of submission of Audit Report	Major findings/ objections	Whether objections resolved or not.	
1	2	3	4	
2006-2007	29-10-2007	No Major findings	Objections resolved	
2007-2008	12-10-2008	No Major findings	Objections resolved	
2008-2009	13-09-2009	No Major findings	Objections resolved	
2009-2010	21-10-2010	No Major findings	Objections resolved	

#### G. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

### 1. General Observations/Remarks of the Project on:

#### 1.1 Background

In 1978, the Govt. of Bangladesh had taken up Land Reclamation Project (LRP) under the Cooperation of Govt. of the Netherlands Initially the aim of the project was to address the problems of floods, erosion and accretion of the coastal areas and to find out suitable remedy to combat the problems in order to reclaim land and to develop the chars. Afterwards emphasis was given more to the development of the new land rather than to the accretion of land. By the end of LRP, in 1991, both the Government of Bangladesh and the Netherlands, in recognition of the two distinct approaches decided to continue the LRP project under two separate projects namely:

- Char Development and Settlement Project (Land based); and
- Meghna Estuary Study (Water based)

CDSP interventions include land settlement (official settlement of landless households on khas lands / char lands), internal infrastructure (embankments, sluices, drainages, cyclone shelters, houses and roads), productive development (in particular agriculture) and institutional & community development (through local NGOs). CDSP-I in Noakhali and CDSP-II in Noakhali-Feni-Chittagong with grants from GON were already completed successfully; while CDSP-III in Noakhali-Laxmipur also with grants from GON is implemented.

#### 1.2 Justification/Adequacy

In view of having brilliant success in CDSP–I & II and in accordance to the recommendation of Joint Bangladesh Netherlands Formulation Mission conducted in June 2004 both the countries agreed to take up CDSP–III, from July 2005 to June 2009. Project covers 3 specific areas namely; Char Moradona, Baggardona River Catchment area and Boyer Char, in Noakhali Sadar and Hatiya Upazilas of Noakhali district and Ramgati Upazila of Lakshmipur district. The aim of the project is to improve the economic situation and living condition of the population in the coastal areas of south-eastern Bangladesh with special reference to the poorest segment of the population.

#### 1.3 Objectives

- Promote an institutional environment that sustains interventions as proposed in CDSP III.
- Accumulate and disseminate data and knowledge on coastal char development; and

• Directly improve the economic and social situation of the people in the coastal chars in a sustainable way.

### 1.4 Project revision with reasons

#### Reasons for first revision:

#### Mission Report 5 on Revision of PP

A one man committee with Mr. Jan W. K. Van der Wal was constituted by Royal Netherlands Embassy (RNE) in March 2007 to asses the quality control infrastructure development in CDSP-III. He in Mission Report 5 categorically mentioned that the major implementation hurdle in CDSP-III was due to,

Huge cost overrun in the PP, as the PP estimates were based on the Schedule of Rates of 2002 and also due to changes in field conditions, for instance in the morphological circumstances.

A detailed review of the cost estimate made by the TA Team and endorsed by mission-5 fielded by the RNE in March, 2007 showed that the cost over run in BWDB component was the highest (74%). The cost overrun for the other implementation agencies were LGED: 2.58%, DPHE: 4.13% and Forest Department: 48%. There was no change of cost in MOL and DAE.

# National Steering Committee Meeting (NSC) of CDSP-III on Revision of PP

The issue of huge cost overrun was discussed in the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the National Steering Committee (NSC), held on 24 June 2007 under the Chairmanship of the Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources.

In the meeting the Project Director (PD) mentioned that due to this huge cost overrun, no further estimate could be approved; no further tendering was possible and as a result there would be a total impasse in BWDB components of the project. To resolve the stalemate situation, the PD referred to the recommendation of the Mission report 5, and for the timely completion of the project he proposed the following measures.

- The preparatory process of tendering the remaining works should start at full speed.
- To facilitate this, using the 2006 schedule of rates in the upcoming tenders, should be allowed, subject to approval of the revised PP's.
- RNE to immediately provide the Financial Assistance for additional fund, if possible by June, 2007.

The 1<sup>st</sup> secretary, RNE stated that they would try to increase the FA as much as possible and within the limits of the Euro commitment made earlier. The DG, BWDB thanked the 1<sup>st</sup> secretary, RNE for such positive response. He also stated that the revised PP would be prepared and submitted to Ministry of

Water Resources as soon as the commitment is received from RNE. The Chairperson informed that for the interest of project implementation the preparatory process of tendering the remaining works may be started as suggested in the mission report.

After thread bare discussion the 2<sup>nd</sup> NSC meeting decided that,

- I. The Process of revision of PP should start immediately (7.c. of the minutes of the meeting).
- II. RNE is requested to assure the additional FA.

### RNE commitment of enhanced FA

In view of the decision of the 2<sup>nd</sup> NSC meeting, the RNE through their e-mail, dt. 02/07/2007 informed that the maximum FA fund could be provided up to BDT. 9220.00 lakhs from their original commitment of 7874.73 lakhs, while the need based estimate as reviewed by TA Team was BDT. 10522.37 lakhs.

## Reasons for second revision:

### Mid Term Review mission Report

The Mid Term Review mission worked from CDSP-III's field office in Noakhali between May 12 and 22, 2008 and from the project's Dhaka office between May 12 and 23-28.

The mission recommended an extension of 18 months of the CDSP-III project, during which in addition to concluding its contracted tasks- additional investments and plot-to-plot survey are proposed in chars adjacent to Boyer Char. The present FA budget for CDSP-III is sufficient for concluding all present activities before the end of 2010.

# National Steering Committee Meeting (NSC) of CDSP-III

The 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the National Steering Committee (NSC) of Char Development and Settlement Project-III (CDSP-III) was held on 26th August, 2008 at 11.00 am in the Ministry of Water Resources under the chairmanship of Mr. Badrul Alam Tarafdar, Addl. Secretary, MoWR.

After a threadbare discussion the following decisions were taken:

a. Ministry of Water Resources will issue a D.O. letter to Ministry of Land regarding the prohibition of transfer of AC (Land) and UNO of CDSP-II Project areas and to expedite the land settlement with special effort.

b. BWDB will start the 2<sup>nd</sup> revision of PP immediately. Other organization will also do the same if necessary 18

- c. DPHE will take immediate necessary action to propose for shifting deep tube-wells from North-Western part of Boyerchar to new chars in vicinity of Boyerchar. A proposal for shifting deep tube well from Boyer char to Char Nangulia and Noler Char to be sent to the ministry through BWDB.
- d. NSC has consent on the extension of project period of CDSP-III up to December, 2010.

The RDPP is prepared based on the agreed decision of the PMC, which is in compliance to both RNE commitment and the decision of the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the NSC of CDSP-III.

## Amendment to Contribution Agreement (CA) of CDSP-III

Amendment to Contribution Agreement (CA) was signed on 02-09-2008 between the Netherlands Minister for Development Cooperation and the Economic Relations Division (ERD), Ministry of Finance, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. According to this amendment the project period was extended upto 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010.

#### **Extension of Project Period**

The project period of CDSP-III was extended up to 31st December, 2010 by the Ministry of Water Resources on 04/05/09. Later on the project was extended up to 28 February 2011 by planning commission.

#### Change in Technical Assistance Cost

The TA Cost is EUR 2,685,341.00 = Tk 2386.73 lakh in stead of EUR 3,000,000 = Tk 2666.40 lakh (1 Euro = BDT 88.88) as this new cost is approved by the EKN. The contract is signed between the EKN and Euroconsult Mort-Mac Donald. EKN pays The TA Cost directly to the consultant and Bangladesh Government has no association in this contract.

# Amendment to Administrative Arrangement (AA) of CDSP-III

Amendment to Administrative Arrangement (AA) was signed on 23-07-2009 between the Netherlands Minister for Development Cooperation and the Economic Relations Division (ERD), Ministry of Finance, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. According to this amendment the TA Cost is EUR 2,685,341.00.

# 2. Rationale of the project in respect of Concept, Design, Location and Timing.

The ultimate objectives of Resettlement of the Landless Community & enhancement of their livelihood in secured environment through integrated approach, which will be fulfilled through the implementation of this

project. The project commenced in 2005-06 and the project objectives were in line with the approved PRSP document and it was relevant with the principle of NWPo, BWDB Act-2000 and NWMP.

- 3. Brief description on planning and financing of the project and its applicability.
  - Project Identification
  - Project Preparation
  - Appraisal
  - Credit Negotiation
  - ♦ Credit Agreement
  - Credit Effectiveness
  - Loan Disbursement
  - Loan Conditionalities
  - Project Approval.
  - Others (if any).

(

19

N/A

# 4. Analysis of the Post-Implementation situation and result of the project:

- Whether the beneficiaries of the project have clear knowledge about the Target/ Objectives of the project. Yes
- 4.2 Programme for use of created-facilities of the project. Continuous
- 4.3 O & M programme of the project. Continuous
- 4.4 Impact of the project -
  - 4.4.1 Direct Positive Impact
  - 4.4.2 Indirect Positive Impact
- 4.5 Transfer of Technology and Institutional Building through the project Yes
- 4.6 Employment generation through the project. Yes
- 4.7 Possibility of Self employment. Yes
- 4.8 Possibility of women-employment opportunity. Yes
- 4.9 Women's participation in development. Yes
- 4.10 Probable Impact on Socio-Economic activity. Positive Impact
- 4.11 Impact on environment. Positive Impact
- 4.12 Sustainability of the project. Sustainable
- 4.13 Contribution to poverty alleviation/reduction. Positive Impact
- 4.14 Opinion of the public representatives, local elite, local administration, teachers, religious leaders, women's representatives etc. Positive
- 4.15 Contribution of Micro-credit programmes and Comments on overlapping with any NGO activities. NGO activities were included in the project

3.	rroblems encountered during Imple	mentation (wit	h duration & steps taken to remove those)
5.1	Project Management	5.12	Project aid disbursement and re-
5.2	Project Director		imbursment
5.3	Land Acquisition	5.13	Mission of the development partners.
5.4	Procurement	5.14	Time & Cost Over-run
5.5	Consultancy	5.15	Project Supervision/Inspection
5.6	Contractor	5.16	Delay in Decision
5.7	Manpower	5.17	Transport
5.8	law & Order	5.18	Training
5.9	Natural clamity	5.19	
5.10	Project financing, allocation and	5.20	Approval Others.
W. K. ()	release.	5.20	Others.
5.11	Design formulation/approval	1	
DIA I	Design formulation/approval	6	
	No Problem was angountered	.d. dttt	
	No Problem was encountered	a during Imple	ementation
6.	Remarks & Recommendations of	the Project Dire	ector:
	The CDSP-III is a successful project	for the land less	s community of coastal area, so this type of
	project may be replicated throughout	the coastal area	of the country.
			-
			n 2
			W/ 11
			11.15.11.11
Date		Signature	e and seal of the Project Director/Manager
		6	vid. Mahfuzur Rahman)
			Director
			PMU-ESPP
			BWDB, Dhaka.
7. I	Company Comments of A		
/ • I	Remark <mark>s</mark> /Comments of Agency Head	1	
1	)ate :		
			Signature and Seal
8. Re	emarks/Comments of the officer in-	charge of the	Ministry/Division
		charge of the	VIIIISTI Y/DIVISION
Date :			F. \( \frac{1}{2} \)
vate.			Signature and Seal
			4

THE PARTY OF