PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT: IMED 04/2003 (Revised)

GOVERNMENT OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BANGLADESH MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES

Name of the Project : Preparing Irrigation Management Improvement

Investment Program (PPTA-IMIIP) in Muhuri

Irrigation Project (MIP).

Executing Agency: Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB)

Office of the Director Planning –III &

Project Director, PPTA-IMIIP Bangladesh Water Development Board Hasan Court (7th & 8th Floor) 23/1, Motijheel C/A, Dhaka-1000

Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh **Ministry of Planning**

Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Division

PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT : IMED 04/2003 (Revised)

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

01. Name of the Project

Preparing Irrigation Management Improvement

Investment Program (PPTA-IMIIP) in Muhuri

Irrigation Project (MIP).

02. Administrative Ministry/Division :

Ministry of Water Resources (MoWR)

03. Executing Agency

Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB)

04. Location of the Project

Division	District	Upazila
Chittagong	Feni	Purshuram, Phulgazi, Chhagyal Naiyyia, Feni Sadar and Sonagazi
Chittagong	Chittagong	Mirsarai

05. Objective of the Project

With a view to improving irrigation management and investment programme in MIP, the specific objectives are as follows:

- To review study outputs of Command Area Development Project-II (CAD-II) and Developing Innovative Approach to Management of Major Irrigation Systems (DIAMMIS) of ADB
- To address ADB's Multi-Tranche Financing Facility (MFF) due diligence and subsequent changes
- To perform & revise MIP feasibility study consisting detailed engineering and program designs
- To review and update economic analysis based on latest cost estimates for project.
- To finalize improved management system with Specialized Management Unit (SMU) and Irrigation Service Charge (ISC) rate for MIP
- To prepare draft DPP and terms of reference for initial procurement packages.

06. Estimated Cost

(In lakh Taka)

	Original	Latest Revised
(a) Total	698.16	-
(b) Taka	43.60	-
(c) Foreign Currency	654.56	-
(d) Project Aid	654.56	-
(e) RPA	-	-

:

PCP Date of Approval 07. (a) Original

29 November, 2012

TPP

Time Extention

04 February, 2014

(b) Latest Revised



08. Implementation Period :

oo. Impreme	Date of Commencement	Date of Completion
(a) Original	October, 2012	October, 2013
Time Extention	October, 2012	June, 2014
(b) Latest Revised	-	-
(c) Actual	November, 2012	June, 2014

09. Financing Arrangement (Source-wise):

9.1 Status of Loan/Grant

a) Foreign Financing :

Sourc e (s)	Currency as per Agreement	Amount in US \$ (Million)	Nature (Loan/ Grant/ supplier's/ credit)	Date of Agreement	Date of Effective- ness	Date of Closing Original Revise	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ADB	US Dollar	0.80	TA Grant	11.10.2012	31.10.2012	June, 2014	-

b) GOB:

(In lakh Taka)

Total amount	Loan	Grant	Cash Foreign Exchange
1	2	3	4
43.60		43.60	

9.2 Utilization of Project Aid: (Source wise)

(In million)

Source (s)	Total	Amount	Actual I	Actual Expenditure		ilized Amount
	In US \$	In Local Currency (BDT)	In US \$	In Local Currency (BDT)	In US \$	In Local Currency (BDT)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
ADB	0.80	65.456	0.799	65.40	0.0007	0.056
				1 00 / 005	11., 2012)	

^{*} Exchange rate with date: 1 Dollar = TK. 81.82 (as of 05 July 2012)

9.3 Re-imbursible Project Aid (RPA) : Not Applicable.

(In lakh Taka)

	A Amount	Amount Spent	Amount Claimed	Amount Re-imbursed	Remarks
As per PP	As per Agreement	Spent	Ciamica	-	6
1	2	3	4	5	U

B. IMPLEMENTATION POSITION

01. Implementation Period :

Implementa as per		Actual Implementation	Time Over-run (% of original	Remarks	
Original	Latest Revised	period	implementation period)		
1	2	3	4	5	
October, 2012 to	-	October, 2012 to	62.50%		
October, 2013		June, 2014			
(Time extention:					
October, 2012 to					
June, 2014)					

02. Cost of the Project :

(In lakh Taka)

Description	Estimated Cost		Actual expenditure	Cost over-run (% of original cost)	Remarks	
	Original	Latest revised				
1	2	3	4	5	6	
TOTAL	698.16	-	659.65	-		
TAKA	43.60	-	5.65	-	In kind: 36.45 In cash: 7.15	
PA	654.56	-	654.00	-		

03. Project Personnel: Not applicable (Manpower of the Planing-III office, BWDB was deployed for)

Sanctioned	Manpower	Status of the e	Manpower			
strength as per PP	employed during execution	Manpower requirement for O&M as per pp	Existing manpower for O & M	Others	Em	ployed
1	2	3	4	5	Male	Female
Officer (s)						
Staff(s)						
Total:						



04. Training of Project Personnel (Foreign/Local) : Not applicable.

Field of	Provision as per PP		Ac	etual	Remarks
Training / Study tour/ workshop/ Seminer etc.	Number of person	Man - months	Number of person	Man - months	
1	2	3	4	5	6

a. Foreign

b. Local

05. Component-wise Progress (As per latest approved TPP):

(In lakh Taka)

	_			(TIP)			(In lakh Taka)
Items of	work		Target (as	per TPP)	Actual P	rogress	Reasons for deviation (±)
(as per T	PP)	Unit	Financial	Physical (Quanti ty)	Financial	Physical (Quanti ty)	(-1
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
(a) Revenue Co	mponent						
Supplies and	Services:						
Petrol and Lubr DP-III	icant for	1 item	1.50	-	1.4949	1 item	
Gas and Fuel fo	r DP-III	1 item	1.50	-	1.3716	1 item	
Consultants		mm	458.19	40	458.19	40	
International and travel	d local	1 item	61.37	-	61.37	1 item	
Reports and communications	S	1 item	4.91	-	4.91	1 item	
Workshop, train seminar and Co		1 item	13.09	-	13.09	1 item	
Surveys		1 item	36.82	-	36.82	1 item	
Repair & Maint Vehicles	enance of	1 item	1.50	-	1.4837	1 item	
Hiring Charges	(Vehicle)	1 item	16.36	-	16.36	1 item	
Repair of Comp Office Equipme		1 item	0.65	-	0.644	1 item	



Items of work		Target (as	per TPP)	Actual P	rogress	Reasons for deviation (±)
(as per TPP)	Unit	Financial	Physical (Quanti ty)	Financial	Physical (Quanti ty)	
Other Expenditure(Miscellaneou s Administrative and support cost & Contingencies)	1 item	63.82	-	63.26	1 item	
Others (with Steering Committee honorium)	LS	2.00	-	0.66	LS	
Salary of Officers (Counterparts) (<i>Inkind</i>)	mm	11.22	42	-	42	Requisite manpower was engaged from
Salary of Staffs (Counterparts) (<i>Inkind</i>)	mm	4.38	42	-	42	the existing setup of BWDB. Expenditure for
Allowances (Counterparts) (Inkind)	LS	11.40	-	-	LS	staff salary, allowances and office accommodation
Office Accommodation (Inkind)	1 item	9.45	-	-	1 item	was borne from the establishment budget of BWDB.
Sub-total (Revenue Component)		698.16		659.65		36.45 paid as Inkind.
(b) Capital Component :						
Nil		Nil		Nil		
Sub-total (Captal Component)		Nil		Nil		
TOTAL		698.16		659.65		36.45 paid as Inkind.



06. Information regarding Project Director (s):

Name & Designation with pay	Full time	Part time	Responsible for more	Date of	of	Remarks
Scale.	tillic	time	than one project	Joining	Transfer	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Md. Masud Ahmed Diector, Planning-III & Project Director-IMIIP, BWDB, Dhaka. 25750-1000x8-33750	Yes	-	Yes	11/04/2011 (01/10/2012 as PD, IMIIP)	-	-

07. Procurement of Transport (in Nos.): Not applicable.

Type of transport	Number as per P.P.	Procure d with date	Transferred to Transport Pool with date	Transfer red to O & M with date	Condemned/ damaged with date	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Car						
Jeep						
Microbus						
Minibus						
Bus						
Pick-up						
Truck						
Motor Cycle						
By-cycle						
Speed Boat						
Launch						
Others						
with name						



08. Procurement of Goods, Works and Consultancy Services: Not applicable.

08.1 Goods & Works of the Project costing above Tk. 200.00 lakh. and Consultancy above Tk. 100.00 lakh:

Description of procurement (goods/works				Tender/Bid/Proposal Cost (in crore Taka) Tender/Bid/Proposal		Date of completion of works/services and supply of goods		
/consultancy) as per bid document	As per PP	Contracte d value	Invitation date	Contract signing/ L.C opening date	As per contract	Actual		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

No such procurement done.

8.2 Use of Project Consultant (s) (Foreign/Local):

Name of the Field	Approve	d man month	Actual man month utilised	Remarks
	As per PP	As per contract		
1	2	3	4	5
(a) Foreign	15	-	15	
(b) Local	25	-	25	

09. Construction/Erection/Installation Tools & Equipment: Not applicable.

Description of items	Quantit y (as per PP)	Quantity procured with date	Transferr ed to O & M with date	Disposed off as per rule with date	Balanc e	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Car

C. FINANCIAL AND PHYSICAL PROGRAMME:

01. (a) Original and revised schedule as per TPP:

(In lakh Taka)

Financial Year	Financia	inancial provision & physical target as per original TPP				Financial provision & physical target as per latest revised TPP		
	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical	Tota	Tak	P.A.	Physical
				%	1	a		%
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2012-13	494.53	30.88	463.65		-	-	-	
2013-14	203.63	12.72	190.91		-	-	-	

01. (b) Revised ADP allocation and progress:

(In lakh Taka)

Financial	Revi	sed Allo	cation &	target	Taka	Expend	Expenditure & 1		physical progress		
Year	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical	release	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical		
				%					%		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
2012-13	-	-	-		-						
2013-14	661.00	7.00	654.00	100	6.65	659.65	5.65	654.00	100		

^{*}PA (DPA: 654.00) was spent directly by the consulting firm through ADB.



D. ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT:

Objectives as per TPP	Actual achievement	Reasons for shortfall, if any
Specific Objectives were -		
(a) To review study outputs of Command Area Development Project-II (CAD-II) and Developing Innovative Approach to Management of Major Irrigation Systems (DIAMMIS) of ADB.	Outputs of CAD-II and DIAMMIS studies reviewed and updated.	
(b) To address ADB's Multi- Tranche Financing Facility (MFF) due diligence and subsequent changes.	In December 2013, ADB and MoWR agreed to change the modality of funding from Multi-Tranche Financing Facility (MFF) to a series of standalone projects in the irrigation sector of Bangladesh. Irrigation Management Improvement Project (IMIP) is the first standalone project for Muhuri Irrigation Project (MIP) financed by ADB. Later on Ganges-Kobadak Irrigation Project (GKIP) and Teesta Barrage Irrigation Project (TBP) will be taken up as separate standalone projects.	
(c) To perform & revise MIP feasibility study consisting detailed engineering and program designs.	Feasibility study was done and submitted on May, 2014. The report covered rehabilitation, modernization and development of management system.	
(d) To review and update economic analysis based on latest cost estimates for project.	Economic analysis was done and incorporated in the Feasibility report. The results are found to be satisfactory.	
(e) To finalize improved management system with Specialized Management Unit (SMU) and Irrigation Service Charge (ISC) rate for MIP.	The establishment of a private sector following Public Private Partnership (PPP) model named as Irrigation Management Operator (IMO) was formulated and approved in the DPP of IMIP which is in the light of Specialized Management Unit (SMU). The provision for fixing of Irrigation Service Charge (ISC) has been kept, which is to be done through consultation with the stakeholders and Implementation Coordination Committee (ICC) of the IMIP (For MIP) project.	
(f) To prepare draft DPP and terms of reference for initial procurement packages.	Draft DPP was prepared by the consultants on the basis of which final DPP was prepared by BWDB and consequently approved by the ECNEC on 17/06/2014. Plan and Terms of reference (ToR) for the initial procurement packages were also prepared.	



E. BENEFIT ANALYSIS

01. Annual Out-put: Not applicable.

Items of out-put	Unit	Estimated quantity expected at full capacity	actual quantity of out-put during the 1st year of operation at full capacity (or during, real production for newly completed project).
(a)			
(b)			
(c)			
(d)			

02. Cost / Benefit : Not applicable.

Item	Estimated	Actual
(1) Benefit cost ratio of the project (i) Financial		
(ii) Economic		
(2) Internal Rate of Return (i) Financial		
(ii) Economic		

03. Please give reasons for shortfall, if any, between the estimated and actual benefit: NA.

C 386

F. MONITORING AND AUDITING

0.1 Monitoring: Not applicable.

Name & designation of the inspecting official	Date of Inspection	Identified Problems	Recommendations
1	2	3	4

- (a) Ministry / Agency:
- (b) <u>IMED</u>:
- (c) Others: (Please specify)

0.2. Auditing during and after Implementation:

2.1. Internal Audit: Not yet.

Period of A <mark>udit</mark>	Date of submission of Audit Report	Major findings/ objections	Whether objections resolved or not.	
1	2	3	4	

2.2. External Audit: Not yet.

Audit period	Date of submission of Audit Report	Major findings/ objections	Whether objections resolved or not.
1	2	3	4



G. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

1. General Observations/Remarks of the Project on:

1.1 Background

In continuation to the previous Technical Assistance of Asian Development Bank (ADB), the ADB approved the Project Preparatory Technical Assistance (PPTA 4740-BAN) for Command Area Development Project (CADP-II) aiming at improving the performance of large scale Flood Control, Drainage and Irrigation (FCDI) schemes in December 2005 and the work was carried out by the PPTA team during the period of February 2007 to September 2008.

After CAD-II, the Capacity Development Technical Assistance (CDTA) (TA 7260-BAN) for Developing Innovative Approach to Management of Major Irrigation Systems (DIAMMIS) was fielded to address constraints identified in CAD-II study specifically to examine institutional aspects to assess potential options for strengthening system management and improving operation and maintenance. The main objective of the TA was to develop an innovative approach to management of major irrigation systems. It was recommended from the study that the pilot project at Muhuri Irrigation Project (MIP) shall involve the use of Specialized Management Unit (SMU) which will be tasked at the secondary and tertiary level of system whereas the primary system will be taken care by BWDB. Following the CDTA another PPTA was undertaken during October 2012 to June 2014 for doing the feasibility study of Muhuri Irrigation Project (MIP) to address the rehabilitation, modernization and development of management system.

1.2 Justification/Adequacy

Enhancing productivity is a high priority under the Government of Bangladesh's Sixth Five-Year Plan, FY2011-FY2015 (SFYP). Robust and well managed irrigation systems are central to boosting productivity and contributing to food security. There is a need to reduce reliance on groundwater by improving the management of surface water and improving the reliability and efficiency of irrigation supplies. A weakness that continues to plague investments in the water sector is the lack of long-term operation and maintenance (O&M) of water management facilities. This is due to inadequate financing, beneficiary disengagement and weak service delivery and has direct impact on productivity, rural income and poverty.

The Government has a comprehensive water policy framework. The adoption of the National Policy Framework Policy (NWP) in 1999 specifically stated that major irrigation schemes will be placed under private management following- PPP model by the implementing agency along with local government and community organizations. A subsequent capacity development TA was undertaken in 2009 to investigate potential for alternative service delivery agreements and management arrangements, including independent entities that would better manage and operate the irrigation systems. A Program was included in ADB's Country Operations Business Plan 2012-2014. It would undertake physical improvement of irrigation systems specifically rehabilitation and modernization of infrastructure for reinstating original capacity, improving efficiency and restoring lost irrigated area. Such approach was developed under CDTA 7260-BAN for Muhuri Irrigation Project (MIP) that is to be piloted under the Irrigation Management Improvement Project (IMIP) in the first instance.

1.3 Objectives

The overall objectives for this assignment were to address the due diligences requirements of ADB for an MFF program and support investment preparation to be financed through the first tranche of the MFF including the (i) physical rehabilitation & modernization of MIP and (ii) establishment of Irrigation Management Operator (IMO) in the light of Specialized Management Unit (SMU).

With a view to improving irrigation management and investment programme in MIP, the *specific* objectives were as follows:

C 386

- To review study outputs of Command Area Development Project-II (CAD-II) and Developing Innovative Approach to Management of Major Irrigation Systems (DIAMMIS) of ADB
- To address ADB's Multi-Tranche Financing Facility (MFF) due diligence and subsequent changes
- To perform & revise MIP feasibility study consisting detailed engineering and program designs
- To review and update economic analysis based on latest cost estimates for project.
- To finalize improved management system with Specialized Management Unit (SMU) and Irrigation Service Charge (ISC) rate for MIP
- To prepare draft DPP and terms of reference for initial procurement packages.

1.4 Project revision with reasons

The PPTA-IMIIP study was scheduled to be completed in between October 2012 to Ocober 2013. Implementation time was extended up to June 2014 without increasing cost. To prepare the DPP of the investment project of Irrigation Management Improvement Project (For Muhuri Irrigation Project) and for getting its approval PPTA's time was extened. The project started on November 2012 and completed on June 2014.

2. Rationale of the project in respect of Concept, Design, Location and Timing.

The Command Area Development Project-II (CADP-II) prepared an investment project that organizes key Govt. policies and directives by introducing a new approach to the management and O&M of large scale irrigation systems along with physical rehabilitation. This TA also prepared EIAs, resettlement Plans as well as Indigenous Peoples resettlement frameworks for the selected subprojects. *Limited capacity of public agencies to carry out O&M of large irrigation project and also chronic system deterioration due to lack of O&M fund* were identified as the main problems in CAD-II study. After CAD-II, the Capacity Development Technical Assistance (CDTA) (TA 7260-BAN) of Developing Innovative Approach to Management of Major Irrigation Systems (DIAMMIS) was fielded to address constraints identified in CAD-II study specifically to examine institutional aspects to assess potential options for strengthening system management and improving operation and maintenance.

To plan a third party arrangement in the light of Specialized Management Unit (SMU) system developed in DIAMMIS, a loan project –Piloting Improved Management of Muhuri Irrigation Project (PIMMIP) was proposed by ADB for rehabilitation of MIP in the Chittagong division. Before going to possible investment project it was necessary to update previous work/study and preparation for draft DPP of investment project. That's why PPTA was designed and conducted. If the third party arrangement based concept becomes successful then it will be replicated in other large scale irrigation projects under BWDB like Ganges-Kobadak Irrigation Project (GKIP) and Teesta Barrage Irrigation Project (TBP) which are located in the Khulna and Rangpur divisions.

3. Brief description on planning and financing of the project and its applicability: Not applicable.

- Project Identification
- Project Preparation
- Appraisal
- ♦ Credit Negotiation
- ♦ Credit Agreement
- ♦ Credit Effectiveness
- Loan Disbursement
- Loan Conditionalities
- Project Approval.

C 386

♦ Others (if any).

4. Analysis of the Post-Implementation situation and result of the project : Not applicable.

- 4.1 Whether the beneficiaries of the project have clear knowledge about the Target/Objectives of the project.
- 4.2 Programme for use of created-facilities of the project
- 4.3 O & M programme of the project.
- 4.4 Impact of the project 4.4.1 Direct 4.4.2 Indirect
- 4.5 Transfer of Technology and Institutional Building through the project
- 4.6 Employment generation through the project.
- 4.7 Possibility of Self employment
- 4.8 Possibility of women-employment opportunity
- 4.9 Women's participation in development
- 4.10 Probable Impact on Socio-Economic activity.
- 4.11 Impact on environment
- 4.12 Sustainability of the project
- 4.13 Contribution to poverty alleviation/reduction
- 4.14 Opinion of the public representatives, local elite, local administration, teachers, religious leaders, women's representatives etc.
- 4.15 Contribution of Micro-credit programmes and Comments on overlapping with any NGO activities.

5. Problems encountered during Implementation (with duration & steps taken to remove those): Not applicable.

5.1	Project Management	5.11	Design formulation/approval
5.2	Project Director	5.12	Project aid disbursement and re-
5.3	Land Acquisition		imbursment
5.4	Procurement	5.13	Mission of the development partners.
5.5	Consultancy	5.14	Time & Cost Over-run
5.6	Contractor	5.15	Project Supervision/Inspection
5.7	Manpower	5.16	Delay in Decision
5.8	law & <mark>Order</mark>	5.17	Transport
5.9	Natura <mark>l clamity</mark>	5.18	Training
5.10	Project financing, allocation and	5.19	Approval
	releas <mark>e</mark>	5.20	Others.

6. Remarks & Recommendations of the Project Director:

The Final Report of PPTA-IMIIP was submitted on May, 2014. PPTA- IMIIP was originally designed to address the due diligences requirements for a Multi- Tranche Financing Facility (MFF) program in a continuous way and support investment preparation that is to be financed for major irrigation schemes in BWDB considering Muhuri Irrigation Project in the first instance.

During discussions with ADB and MoWR in December 2013 it was agreed to change the modality of funding from MFF to a series of standalone projects in the irrigation sector. As such the title of the first project was changed from the Irrigation Management Improvement Investment Program (IMIIP) to the Irrigation Management Improvement Project (IMIP).

Col

The design of the IMIP is largely the same as the IMIP with the same objectives to support the road map for irrigation. The DPP of the investment project of Irrigation Management Improvement Project (For Muhuri Irrigation Project) with costing TK. 45735.72 lakh (GoB: Tk.8935.72 lakh, PA: Tk. 36800.00 lakh) was approved by the ECNEC on 17/06/2014.

The IMIP would support the investment to rehabilitation, modernization and development of management system for Muhuri Irrigation Project (MIP) as well as the preparation of feasibility studies for Ganges-Kobadak Irrigation Project (GKIP) and Teesta Barrage Irrigation Project (TBP) which would be financed by ADB in the future through additional financing for a new standalone loan(s) project if the result of MIP becomes satisfactory.

Operator (IMO) following PPP model and buried pipeline systems with prepaid metering and electrical/solar pumps. The system is designed for efficient use of available water in the irrigated area and effective collection of water charge for timely O & M. The success of MIP project is vital for the next phase of implementation target since the idea will be replicated in future projects like GKIP and TBP.

Md. Masud Ahmed
Director
Planning-III, BWDB

Signature and seal of the Project Director/Manager

Date: 06/08/2014

6. Remarks/Comments of Agency Head

The recommendation of the PPTA-IMITP study will be reflected in the
implementation project named Imigation Management Improvement
implementation project named Imigation Management Improvement
project (for Muhuri Imigation Project) which is being financed by ADB,

Date: 28-09-2014

Signature and Sear

(Md. Shahidur Rahman)
Director General

8. Remarks/Comments of the officer in- charge of the Ministry/Division BWDB, Dhaka.

Date:

Signature and Seal